

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SAMPLE

STATE ELECTION

SAMPLE

OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT

CONCORD

994/991

William Francis Galvin SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

Vote for ONE

PATRICK and MURRAY +Democratic

BAKER and TISEI +Republican

CAHILL and LOSCOCCO +Independent

STEIN and PURCELL +Green-Rainbow

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Vote for ONE

MARTHA COAKLEY +Democratic

JAMES P. MCKENNA +Republican

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SECRETARY OF STATE

Vote for ONE

WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN +Democratic

WILLIAM C. CAMPBELL +Republican

JAMES D. HENDERSON +Unenrolled

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

TREASURER

Vote for ONE

STEVEN GROSSMAN +Democratic

KARYN E. POLITO +Republican

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

AUDITOR

Vote for ONE

SUZANNE M. BUMP +Democratic

MARY Z. CONNAUGHTON +Republican

NATHANIEL ALEXANDER FORTUNE +Green-Rainbow

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

FIFTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE

NICOLA S. TSONGAS +Democratic

JONATHAN A. GOLNIK +Republican

DALE E. BROWN +Liberty

ROBERT M. CLARK +Citizen Legislator

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

COUNCILLOR

THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE

MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY +Democratic

NICHOLAS A. IANNUZZI +Independent

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE

SUSAN C. FARGO +Democratic

SANDRA B. MARTINEZ +Republican

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

FOURTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE

CORY ATKINS +Democratic

K.C. WINSLOW +Independent

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

NORTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE

GERARD T. LEONE, JR. +Democratic

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SHERIFF

MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vote for ONE

JAMES V. DIPAOLO +Democratic

MICHAEL S. TRANCHITA, SR. +Unenrolled

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would remove the Massachusetts sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol, where the sale of such beverages and alcohol or their importation into the state is already subject to a separate excise tax under state law.

A YES VOTE would remove the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol where their sale or importation into the state is subject to an excise tax under state law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol.

YES

NO

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would repeal an existing state law that allows a qualified organization wishing to build government-subsidized housing that includes low- or moderate-income units to apply for a single comprehensive permit from a city or town's zoning board of appeals (ZBA), instead of separate permits from each local agency or official having jurisdiction over any aspect of the proposed housing.

Under the existing law, the ZBA holds a public hearing on the application and considers the recommendations of local agencies and officials. The ZBA may grant a comprehensive permit that may include conditions or requirements concerning the height, site plan, size, shape, or building materials of the housing. Persons aggrieved by the ZBA's decision to grant a permit may appeal it to a court. If the ZBA denies the permit or grants it with conditions or requirements that make the housing uneconomic to build or to operate, the applicant may appeal to the state Housing Appeals Committee (HAC).

CONTINUED ON BACK

SAMPLE

VOTE BOTH SIDES

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's denial of a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue the permit. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision issuing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to build or operate and was not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to modify or remove any such condition or requirement so as to make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety standards or site plan requirements. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's action was consistent with local needs, the HAC must uphold it even if it made the housing uneconomic. The HAC's decision is subject to review in the courts.

A condition or requirement makes housing "uneconomic" if it would prevent a public agency or non-profit organization from building or operating the housing except at a financial loss, or it would prevent a limited dividend organization from building or operating the housing without a reasonable return on its investment.

A ZBA's decision is "consistent with local needs" if it applies requirements that are reasonable in view of the regional need for low- and moderate-income housing and the number of low-income persons in the city or town, as well as the need to protect health and safety, promote better site and building design, and preserve open space, if those requirements are applied as equally as possible to both subsidized and unsubsidized housing. Requirements are considered "consistent with local needs" if more than 10% of the city or town's housing units are low- or moderate-income units or if such units are on sites making up at least 1.5% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town. Requirements are also considered "consistent with local needs" if the application would result, in any one calendar year, in beginning construction of low- or moderate-income housing on sites making up more than 0.3% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town, or on ten acres, whichever is larger.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

**A YES VOTE** would repeal the state law allowing the issuance of a single comprehensive permit to build housing that includes low- or moderate-income units.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state law allowing issuance of such a comprehensive permit.

YES

NO

**QUESTION 3  
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September 2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the same reduction in the rate used to determine the amount to be deposited with the state Commissioner of Revenue by non-resident building contractors as security for the payment of sales and use tax on tangible personal property used in carrying out their contracts.

The proposed law provides that if the 3% rates would not produce enough revenues to satisfy any lawful pledge of sales and use tax revenues in connection with any bond, note, or other contractual obligation, then the rates would instead be reduced to the lowest level allowed by law.

The proposed law would not affect the collection of moneys due the Commonwealth for sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property or services occurring before January 1, 2011.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

**A YES VOTE** would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state sales and use tax rates.

YES

NO

**QUESTION 4  
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to support legislation that would establish health care as a human right regardless of age, state of health or employment status, by creating a single payer health insurance system like Medicare that is comprehensive, cost effective, and publicly provided to all residents of Massachusetts?

YES

NO

991

SAMPLE